# Low temperature structural analysis of a TDAE•C $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ crystal 
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X-Ray single crystal diffraction studies of TDAE. $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ were performed at low temperature and its crystal structure could be solved and analysed on the basis of diffraction data obtained at 7-11 K.

The discovery of organic ferromagnetism in TDAE $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ by Allemand et.al., ${ }^{1}$ where TDAE is tetrakis(dimethylamino)ethylene, with a transition temperature of about 16 K aroused considerable interest in this compound, since it has the highest $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ of any known molecular ferromagnet without transition metal atoms. A number of possible models, such as itinerant ferromagnetism, superparamagnetism, spin-glass like ground state, and spin-canted weak ferromagnetism have been proposed ${ }^{1-6}$ to explain its magnetic behavior at low temperatures. However, despite intensive studies of TDAE.C.C ${ }_{60}$, a detailed crystal structure based on single crystal diffraction data has not been published until now. The first structural characterization of TDAE. $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ crystals was conducted on X-ray powder diffraction data by Stephens et.al. ${ }^{7}$ on the basis of which it has been shown that TDAE. $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ is a $1: 1$ charge-transfer complex and that the structure has a $C$-centered monoclinic unit cell. The space group was determined as $C 2 / m$ (or non-centric subgroups $C m$ and $C 2$ ). However, a more recent X-ray study of single crystals suggests a space group of symmetry $C 2 / c$ with a doubling of the unit cell size in the $c$-direction and with TDAE shifted along the $b$-axis by about $0.02 \AA$ perpendicular to the $c$-axis. ${ }^{6}$ A determination of the crystal structure at room temperature is complicated because, as is well known, in most materials based on fullerenes the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ molecules rotate rapidly or have orientational disorder at room temperature. In TDAE. $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ crystals the fullerene molecules also rotate at room temperature and freeze out below 150 $\mathrm{K},{ }^{6}$ so it is hard to localize carbon atom positions of the molecule in this temperature range.

We have carried out an X-ray single crystal diffraction study of TDAE. $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ compound at low temperatures down to 7 K . As was shown by EPR measurements the freshly grown, unannealed single crystals are found to be ordered antiferromagnetically below $10 \mathrm{~K}^{6,8}$ and do not show a ferromagnetic transition.

For crystal growth we used the diffusion method, originally developed in the 1970s for the crystallization of organic chargetransfer salts. ${ }^{9} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ (Hoechst, gold grade) and TDAE (Aldrich, $95 \%$ pure) were used without further purification. The solvent (toluene) was distilled under argon atmosphere and transferred into a glove-box ( $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration < 1 ppm ). A toluene solution of $\mathrm{C}_{60}\left(2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}\right)$ and a mixture of toluene and TDAE ( $3: 1$ ) were prepared inside the glove-box and poured separately into the two compartments of a growing cell separated by fritted glass. The cell was carefully closed and maintained at $8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After six months the crystal growth was completed. The cell was then transferred back to a glove-box, and the crystals were extracted from it, washed with hexane and dried.

The full set of diffraction patterns for structural analysis were obtained using a Weissenberg type X-ray imaging plate at 160 ,
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30, 7 and 11 K . The structure was solved and refined based on the space group $C 2 / c$ for the set of data obtained at 7 K . The same structural parameters were used as initial values for analyzing the intensity arrays collected at other temperatures.

The crystal structure for TDAE. $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ at 160 K shows the presence of significant molecular disorder, with rapid oscillation of the carbon atoms of the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ molecules around the $b$-axis. This is expressed by the large values of the thermal coefficients for the atoms. Even at the lowest temperatures, the values of $B_{\text {eq }}$ remain high, which testifies to the presence of some degree of disorder in the structure. It seems that the degree of orientational ordering depends on the cooling time below 50 K and structural parameters with relatively low thermal coefficients were obtained for data collected at 11 K after cooling at that temperature for about $4 \mathrm{~h} . \ddagger$

There are four chemically equivalent $\mathrm{C}_{60} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)_{4}$ units per unit cell. Projections of the structure along the $b$ and $c$ crystallographic axes are shown in Fig. 1. The packing of the unit cell shows that $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ anions are located at inversion centers with the coordinates $(0.5,0,0),(0,0.5,0),(0.5,0,0.5)$ and $(0$,


Fig. 1 Projections of the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$.TDAE crystal structure (ORTEP-III, $50 \%$ probability) at 11 K along the (a) $b$-axis and (b) $c$-axis.


Fig. 2 Shortest $\mathrm{C}_{60} \cdots \mathrm{C}_{60}$ contacts along the [001] and [1 $\left.\overline{1} 0\right]$ directions: (1) $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}\left(16^{\prime}\right)=3.369(6)$, (2) $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}\left(24^{\prime}\right)=3.082(6)$, (3) C(24)-C(28') $=3.373(4),(4) \mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}\left(24^{\prime}\right)=3.479(4),\left(5,5^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}\left(14^{\prime}\right)=3.471(4)$ Å.
$0.5,0.5)$. The centers of gravity of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bonds of the TDAE units are at the two-fold axes and the molecules are located at positions $(0,-0.0022,0.25),(0,0.0022,0.75),(0.5$, $0.4978,0.25)$ and $(0.5,0.5022,0.75)$, with the double bonds parallel to the $c$-axis.

The $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ molecules form a chain along the $c$-axis with the shortest distance between molecular centers equal to $9.915 \AA$ at that temperature. There are several short contacts between adjacent fullerene molecules along the chain: 3.082(6), 3.369(6) and $3.373(4) \AA$. The intermolecular separation between $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ molecules within the $a b$ plane is $10.223 \AA$ and there is a short contact $[3.471(4) \AA]$ in the $[1 \overline{1} 0]$ crystallographic direction, while in the [110] direction all distances are more than $3.60 \AA$. The $\mathrm{C}_{60} \cdots \mathrm{C}_{60}$ type short contacts are shown by dashed lines in Fig. 2.

The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds in the six-membered rings $(6: 6)$ of the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ molecule vary from $1.369(5)$ to $1.409(5) A$, and in the fivemembered rings ( $5: 6$ ) they fall in the range $1.433(4)-1.490(4)$ $\AA$. In the previous reports ${ }^{10-16}$ it was noticed that on going from the neutral molecule $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ to the $\mathrm{C}_{60}{ }^{n-}(n=1-6)$ anions, the mean 6:6 ring junction bond length tends to increase [1.355(9) $\left.\AA\left(\text { for } \mathrm{C}_{60}\right)^{12} \rightarrow 1.389(3) \AA\left(\mathrm{C}_{60}{ }^{-}\right)^{13} \rightarrow 1.399(2) \AA\left(\mathrm{C}_{60}{ }^{2-}\right)^{10}\right]$, while the mean 5:6 bond tends to decrease $[1.467(21) \rightarrow$ $1.449(3) \rightarrow 1.446(2) \AA] . \S$ The trend of increasing $6: 6$ bond lengths and descreasing 6:5 bond lengths in the series $\mathrm{C}_{60} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{C}_{60}{ }^{n-}$ with increasing $n$ is consistent with successive additions of electrons to the $t_{1 u}$ LUMO of $\mathrm{C}_{60} \cdot{ }^{10,16}$ The mean $6: 6$ and $5: 6$ bond lengths in TDAE.C 60 are $1.389(5)$ and 1.453(5) $\AA$, respectively. These values are close to those of $\mathrm{C}_{60}{ }^{-}$and also $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ in the molecular complexes $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{C}_{60}$ [1.387(6) and $1.450(6) \AA]^{14}$ and $\operatorname{TPDP}\left(\mathrm{C}_{60}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CS}_{2}\right)_{4}[1.381(6)$ and $1.451(6)$ A]. ${ }^{11}$

Two symmetrical parts of the TDAE molecule are twisted about the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond and the dihedral angle between the planes formed by the symmetrically equivalent $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ group of atoms is equal to $41.7^{\circ}$. The central $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length is $1.408(6) \AA$, and distances from the central C atoms to the N atoms of the $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ groups are equal to $1.372(3)$ and $1.369(3)$ $\AA$. The N-C type bond lengths within the $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ groups are $1.454(4)-1.461(3) \AA$. The obtained configurations of TDAE are
in reasonable agreement with predictions, ${ }^{17}$ which testifies to a charge state of TDAE of +1 . There are several short distances between atoms of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ molecule and methyl groups of TDAE. The two contacts, $3.401(4)$ and $3.482(4) \AA$, show that there is close interaction between $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ and TDAE.

Low temperature X-ray single crystal diffraction studies have allowed access to detailed structural characteristics of an unannealed sample of TDAE•C 60 . On the basis of this analysis it has been shown that there are very short contacts ( $3.082 \AA$ ) between adjacent $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ molecules along the chain of fullerene molecules as well as the presence of close interactions between $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ and TDAE.

## Notes and references

$\ddagger$ Crystal data at $11 \mathrm{~K}: \mathrm{C}_{70} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{24}$, monoclinic, space group C2/c (No.15), a $=15.890(8), b=12.867(7), c=19.83(3) \AA, \beta=93.6(2)^{\circ}, V=4046(5)$ $\AA^{3}, Z=4, M=920.99, D_{c}=1.51 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)=1888$, Monochromated Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71069 \AA, \mu=0.89 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Data collection was carried out using an Imaging Plate system (rotating anode) equipped with a liquid helium cooling device. 3309 reflections with $I>$ $3 \sigma(I)$ were used in refinement. Atomic parameters were refined via a fullmatrix least-squares procedure with anisotropic temperature factors. Hydrogen atoms were located from a difference Fourier map and refined with isotropic thermal coefficients equivalent to that for the bonded carbon atom. Final parameters were: $R=0.078, R_{\mathrm{w}}=0.076, S=4.36,370$ variables, 3309 observed reflections ( 4874 unique reflections), max. $/ \mathrm{min}$. peak in final diff. map $=+0.56 /-0.47 \mathrm{e}^{\AA} \AA^{-3}$. CCDC 182/1309. See http:/ /www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/1999/1511/ for crystallographic data in .cif format.
§ All the averaged values of bond lengths given there were obtained via single crystal structure analysis at low temperatures, and their accuracies allow for bond length analysis.
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